



More than 18,000 effectors in the *Legionella* genus genome provide multiple, independent combinations for replication in human cells

Laura Gomez-Valero^{a,b,1}, Christophe Rusniok^{a,b}, Danielle Carson^c, Sonia Mondino^{a,b}, Ana Elena Pérez-Cobas^{a,b}, Monica Rolando^{a,b}, Shivani Pasricha^d, Sandra Reuter^{e,2}, Jasmin Demirtas^{a,b}, Johannes Crumbach^{a,b}, Stephane Descorps-Declere^f, Elizabeth L. Hartland^{d,g,h}, Sophie Jarraud^{i,j}, Gordon Dougan^e, Gunnar N. Schroeder^{c,k}, Gad Frankel^c, and Carmen Buchrieser^{a,b,1}

^aInstitut Pasteur, Biologie des Bactéries Intracellulaires, 75724 Paris, France; ^bCNRS UMR 3525, 75724 Paris, France; ^cMedical Research Council Centre for Molecular Bacteriology and Infection, Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom; ^dDepartment of Microbiology and Immunology, The Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC 3000, Australia; ^ePathogen Genomics, Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, CB10 1SA Cambridge, United Kingdom; ^fInstitut Pasteur, Center of Bioinformatics, Biostatistics and Integrative Biology, 75724 Paris, France; ^gCentre for Innate Immunity and Infectious Diseases, Hudson Institute of Medical Research, Clayton, VIC 3168, Australia; ^hDepartment of Molecular and Translational Science, Monash University, Clayton, VIC 3168, Australia; ⁱInternational Center for Infectiology Research, INSERM, U1111, CNRS, UMR 5308, Université Lyon 1, École Normale Supérieure de Lyon, F-69008 Lyon, France; ^jNational Reference Centre of Legionella, Hospices Civils de Lyon, 69317 Lyon, France; and ^kCentre for Experimental Medicine, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast BT9 7BL, United Kingdom

Edited by Thomas J. Silhavy, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, and approved December 14, 2018 (received for review May 9, 2018)

The genus *Legionella* comprises 65 species, among which *Legionella pneumophila* is a human pathogen causing severe pneumonia. To understand the evolution of an environmental to an accidental human pathogen, we have functionally analyzed 80 *Legionella* genomes spanning 58 species. Uniquely, an immense repository of 18,000 secreted proteins encoding 137 different eukaryotic-like domains and over 200 eukaryotic-like proteins is paired with a highly conserved type IV secretion system (T4SS). Specifically, we show that eukaryotic Rho- and Rab-GTPase domains are found nearly exclusively in eukaryotes and *Legionella*. Translocation assays for selected Rab-GTPase proteins revealed that they are indeed T4SS secreted substrates. Furthermore, F-box, U-box, and SET domains were present in >70% of all species, suggesting that manipulation of host signal transduction, protein turnover, and chromatin modification pathways are fundamental intracellular replication strategies for legionellae. In contrast, the Sec-7 domain was restricted to *L. pneumophila* and seven other species, indicating effector repertoire tailoring within different amoebae. Functional screening of 47 species revealed 60% were competent for intracellular replication in THP-1 cells, but interestingly, this phenotype was associated with diverse effector assemblages. These data, combined with evolutionary analysis, indicate that the capacity to infect eukaryotic cells has been acquired independently many times within the genus and that a highly conserved yet versatile T4SS secretes an exceptional number of different proteins shaped by interdomain gene transfer. Furthermore, we revealed the surprising extent to which legionellae have coopted genes and thus cellular functions from their eukaryotic hosts, providing an understanding of how dynamic reshuffling and gene acquisition have led to the emergence of major human pathogens.

Legionella intracellular replication within a specialized compartment termed the *Legionella*-containing vacuole (LCV) (3, 4). Overall, the type IV secretion system (T4SS) Dot/Icm secretes more than 300 different effector proteins into the host cell and is indispensable for virulence of *L. pneumophila* (5–8). The presence of the Dot/Icm T4SS in other *L. pneumophila* strains and in selected *Legionella* species has also been reported (9–12), but recent genome-scale studies of *Legionella* (13–15) have indicated that the T4SS is present in every *Legionella* strain analyzed.

Significance

Legionella pneumophila is a bacterial pathogen causing outbreaks of a lethal pneumonia. The genus *Legionella* comprises 65 species for which aquatic amoebae are the natural reservoirs. Using functional and comparative genomics to deconstruct the entire bacterial genus, we reveal the surprising parallel evolutionary trajectories that have led to the emergence of human pathogenic *Legionella*. An unexpectedly large and unique repository of secreted proteins (>18,000) containing eukaryotic-like proteins acquired from all domains of life (plant, animal, fungal, archaea) contrasts with a highly conserved type IV secretion system. This study reveals an unprecedented environmental reservoir of bacterial virulence factors and provides an understanding of how reshuffling and gene acquisition from environmental eukaryotic hosts may allow for the emergence of human pathogens.

Legionella | protozoa | coevolution | horizontal gene transfer | human pathogen

Legionnaires' disease or legionellosis is an atypical pneumonia caused by bacteria of the genus *Legionella*. Shortly after the discovery of *Legionella pneumophila* (1), it was reported that this bacterium is pathogenic for freshwater and soil amoebae of the genera *Acanthamoeba* and *Naegleria* (2). This finding led to a new perception in microbiology, whereby bacteria that parasitize protozoa can utilize similar processes to infect human cells. Sequencing and analyses of the *L. pneumophila* genome substantiated this idea when it revealed the presence of a large number and variety of eukaryotic-like domains within the predicted proteome (3). Many of these proteins, termed effector proteins, were shown to be secreted into the host cell where they facilitate

Author contributions: L.G.-V., G.D., G.N.S., G.F., and C.B. designed research; L.G.-V., C.R., D.C., S.M., A.E.P.-C., M.R., S.P., S.R., J.D., and J.C. performed research; S.J. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; L.G.-V., C.R., A.E.P.-C., S.R., S.D.-D., E.L.H., S.J., and G.N.S. analyzed data; and L.G.-V. and C.B. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Published under the PNAS license.

Data deposition: The raw sequence reads have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (accession no. PRJEB24896). The sequences and annotations can be accessed at https://github.com/bbi-ip/Legionella_genus_proteins.git.

¹To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: lgomez@pasteur.fr or cbuch@pasteur.fr.

²Present address: Institute for Infection Prevention and Hospital Epidemiology, Medical Center—University of Freiburg, 79106 Freiburg, Germany.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1808016116/-DCSupplemental.

Published online January 18, 2019.

Despite high conservation of the Dot/Icm system among different *Legionella* species, effector repertoires appear to vary greatly. An analysis of putative T4SS effectors of *Legionella longbeachae*, the second most frequent cause of Legionnaires' disease, revealed that only about 50% of the virulence factors described in *L. pneumophila* were also present in the genome of *L. longbeachae* (16). Recently, Burstein et al. (14) analyzed 38 *Legionella* species using a machine learning approach to predict T4SS effectors, and Joseph et al. (15) examined *Legionella* genome dynamics; both groups concluded that DNA interchange between different species is rare. However, still little is known about the potential of the different species to cause human disease and about the impact and the specific characteristics of the T4SS effectors on the evolution of new human pathogens within this environmental bacterial genus.

Here, we present a comprehensive analysis of the *Legionella* genus genome, covering 80 *Legionella* strains belonging to 58 *Legionella* species and subspecies. We establish a pan-genus pool of putative T4SS effectors and show that this comprises over 18,000 proteins and we identify more than 200 eukaryotic-like proteins and 137 eukaryotic domains, including a unique class of putative bacterial Rab GTPases. We confirmed experimentally that a subset of these proteins translocate into the host cell upon infection. We conclude that the T4SS is highly conserved at the sequence level, but that the effector proteins secreted are highly diverse.

Results and Discussion

The *Legionella* Genus Genome Is Dynamic and Characterized by Frequent Genetic Exchange. We sequenced 58 *Legionella* species and analyzed them in combination with all publicly available genomes (80 genomes in total) (SI Appendix, Table S1). The *Legionella* genomes were extremely diverse: The genome size varied from 2.37 Mb (*Legionella adelaidensis*) to 4.88 Mb (*Legionella santacrucis*), the GC content varied from 34.82% (*Legionella busanensis*) to 50.93% (*Legionella geestiana*), and the number of clusters of orthologous genes as defined with OrthoMCL was 17,992, of which 5,832 (32%) were strain specific (singletons) (Fig. 1A). Only 1,008 genes (6%) constituted the core genome (Fig. 1B) compared with an earlier analysis of 38 *Legionella* species that found 16,416 clusters of orthologs and 1,054 core genes (14). The addition of 40 genomes comprising 16 *Legionella* species sequenced in our study increased the number of orthologous gene clusters by over 1,576 and decreased the core genome by 46 genes, underlining the high diversity of the *Legionella* genus. This difference suggests that the *Legionella* genus pan-genome is far from fully described and that sequencing of additional *Legionella* species will increase the genus gene repertoire significantly. This is supported by the rarefaction curve that does not reach a plateau (Fig. 1C).

The highly dynamic nature of these genomes is also seen in the analysis of the strain-specific genes and the accessory genome because it highlights the presence of several mobile genetic elements, which are often associated with genes encoding for transfer regions/conjugative elements such as the type IVA secretion systems (T4ASSs). These T4ASSs [classified as T4SSF, -G, -I, and -T (17)] are present in each strain to varying degrees, indicating that they circulate among the different *Legionella* strains (SI Appendix, Table S2) and therefore drive genome dynamics and diversification. It has been suggested that the incorporation of foreign DNA via horizontal gene transfer (HGT) is responsible for an increase in the AT content and the increase in genome size (18). Indeed, we found a negative correlation between the genome size and the GC content for the *Legionella* genomes, which also suggests frequent HGT (Fig. 1D) (19). Despite the importance of flagella for transmission to new hosts, as shown for *L. pneumophila*, flagella encoding genes were not conserved in all species but showed a patchy distribution,

as 23 of the 80 strains analyzed lacked flagella genes (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). The analyses showed that the *Legionella* genus genome is highly diverse, dynamic, and shaped by HGT.

The Genus *Legionella* Encodes Proteins with 137 Different Eukaryotic Domains. InterProScan analysis of all 58 *Legionella* species revealed the presence of 137 different eukaryotic motifs/domains in the genus *Legionella* (SI Appendix, Table S3) according to the definition that a eukaryotic domain is one that is found in >75% of eukaryotic genomes and in <25% of prokaryotic genomes. The most abundant eukaryotic domains identified were ankyrin repeats. Interestingly, *Legionella santacrucis* and *Legionella massiliensis* encoded 41 and 39 ankyrin domains, respectively (Fig. 2). Ankyrin motifs were found frequently associated with other eukaryotic motifs and thus constituted modular proteins associated with eukaryotic F-box, U-box, Rab, or SET domains. Notably, F-box and U-box domains were present in more than two-thirds of the species analyzed (Fig. 2), suggesting that manipulation of the host ubiquitin system is a fundamental virulence strategy of *Legionella* species. Generally, the genomes contained one to three F-box-containing proteins, with the exception of *Legionella nautarum* and *Legionella drozanskii*, which contained 18 and 10, respectively. The SET domain containing protein RomA of *L. pneumophila* that induces a unique host chromatin modification (20) is present in 46 of the 58 *Legionella* species, suggesting the ability of many *Legionella* species to manipulate host chromatin (Fig. 2). Interestingly, the Sec-7 domain present in the effector RalF, a bacterial exchange factor for the ADP-ribosylation factor family of guanosine triphosphatases and the first described Dot/Icm effector of *L. pneumophila* (21), was present in only eight (*L. pneumophila*, *L. longbeachae*, *Legionella feelei*, *Legionella sainthelensi*, *L. santacrucis*, *Legionella shakespearei*, *Legionella quateirensis*, and *Legionella moravica*) of the 58 *Legionella* species analyzed, suggesting that different effectors may compensate for RalF activity or that LCV biogenesis varies among different species (Fig. 2).

One identified motif in *Legionella* was the ergosterol reductase ERG4/ERG24 (IPR001171) domain. Ergosterol is the primary sterol in the cell membranes of filamentous fungi, present in membranes of yeast and mitochondria (22). Importantly, it is also the major sterol of amoebae such as *Acanthamoeba castellanii* and *Acanthamoeba polyphaga*, the natural hosts of *Legionella* (23, 24). We found that 31 *Legionella* species encoded one or two proteins with the ERG4/ERG24 domain (Fig. 2). The *L. longbeachae* protein (Llo1320) containing this domain showed 56% amino acid identity to that encoded by the amoeba *Naegleria gruberi* and 30% amino acid identity to that encoded by *A. castellanii* strain Neff. This domain was also present in other amoeba-related bacteria such as *Parachlamydia acanthamoebae* and *Protochlamydia naegleriophila*, as well as *Coxiella burnetii*. Phylogenetic analyses suggest that *L. longbeachae* acquired this domain from amoeba (SI Appendix, Fig. S24).

Phylogenetic analyses of the here-identified C-terminal alliinase or caleosin domains present in *Legionella beliardensis* and *Legionella anisa* or the *L. longbeachae* clade (Fig. 2), respectively, further supported acquisition of these domains from plants, amoeba, or fungi (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 B and C). They probably help *Legionella* to fight competitor bacteria or fungi in amoebae or in the environment. Together, our analyses highlight key domains preferentially present in protozoa, fungi, plants, or animals that have been acquired by different *Legionella* species.

A Unique Case in the Prokaryotic World: *Legionella* Encode Small GTPase-Like Domains. The Ras-related small GTPase superfamily comprises more than 150 members in humans, which function as key regulators of signal transduction in almost all cellular processes (25). These enzymes bind and hydrolyze GTP to GDP and activate downstream effectors when bound to GTP. The first identified member was the p21-Ras protein, an evolutionary

conserved small GTPase that controls cell proliferation, survival, and migration through its effector binding at RAF/MAPK and PI3K (26). The Ras protein superfamily is subdivided into at least five distinct branches: Ras, Rho, Rab, Arf, and Ran (27). Evolutionarily conserved orthologs are found in *Drosophila*, *Caenorhabditis elegans*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, *Dictyostelium*, and plants (28).

The only Rab-like protein in a prokaryotic genome was reported in the *L. longbeachae* genome sequence (16). However, upon analysis of our 80 *Legionella* strains, we identified 184 small GTPases, of which 104 could be classified with a very high confidence as Rho-, Ras-, or Rab-like proteins (1 Rho, 34 Ras, and 71 Rab domains) (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 and Table S4). Blast analysis of these proteins in the National Center for Biotechnology Information database revealed that 149 of the 184 small GTPases of *Legionella* were exclusively present in *Legionella* and eukaryotic organisms (Table 1). The Rab domain was localized to different parts of the effector proteins, and a subset of Rab

proteins carried additional domains such as U-box domains, ankyrin motifs, or F-box domains (Fig. 3A). Alignment of the different Rab domains identified in the *Legionella* genomes revealed that the structural features of eukaryotic Rab domains were conserved among the *Legionella* proteins (SI Appendix, Fig. S4).

To analyze further the evolutionary history of the Ras-related domains in *Legionella*, we undertook phylogenetic analyses of these proteins. For example, the two *L. longbeachae* Rab proteins, Llo1716 and Llo3288, were present in all strains closely related to *L. longbeachae*, suggesting that they and their orthologs share a common origin and evolved from a gene acquired by the ancestor of all these species (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). Further phylogenetic analysis of 16 Rab proteins present in eight different *Legionella* species showed that these Rab domains were acquired by HGT, mainly from protozoa (Fig. 3B and SI Appendix, Fig. S6). Recently, a novel isoform of Rab5D was identified in the *Acanthamoeba polyphaga mimivirus* (APMV) and all group I members of the family Mimiviridae (29). Phylogenetic analyses suggested that the




Fig. 1. The *Legionella* genomes are diverse in size and gene content. (A) Phylogeny of the genus based on the core genome, genome size, GC content, and number of singletons of each species are depicted. Numbers represent bootstrap values. Branches are colored according to the clade they belong to. Genome size and GC content include plasmids if present in the corresponding species. The number of singletons is based on the results of OrthoMCL (takes into account orthologs and paralogs). Each species has been compared with the others without taking into account strains from the same species to avoid bias due to the number of strains sequenced within a species. (B) Occurrence of genes within the 80 analyzed *Legionella* genomes. Left end of the x axis (green bar), genes present in a single genome (strain-specific genes; 5,832, ~32% of the pangenome); right end of the x axis (red bar), genes present in all 80 genomes (core genome; 1,008 genes, ~6% of the pan-genome). (C) Gene accumulation curve for the total number of proteins of the 80 genomes. (D) Negative correlation between genome size and GC content, indicating high acquisition of foreign genes (Pearson's correlation coefficient equal to -0.46 with $P < 0.0001$).

Table 1. Homology of *Legionella* Rab domain-containing proteins against protozoan Rab proteins

| Domain | Protein | First blast hit | Identity, % | Coverage, % | E value |
|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Rab | Lade0491 | <i>Entamoeba histolytica</i> | 35 | 52 | 4.E-17 |
| Rab | LgoA0634 | <i>Paramecium tetraurelia</i> | 33 | 51 | 2.E-19 |
| Rab | Llo3288 | <i>Ichthyophthirius multifiliis</i> | 42 | 53 | 4.E-31 |
| Rab | Lstei0814 | <i>Tetrahymena thermophila</i> | 34 | 86 | 3.E-26 |
| Rab | Lstei2185 | <i>Stentor coeruleus</i> | 38 | 55 | 6.E-29 |
| Rab | Lbir2252 | <i>Entamoeba invadens</i> | 32 | 55 | 5.E-15 |
| Rab | Lges1860 | <i>Entamoeba histolytica</i> | 34 | 55 | 7.E-25 |
| Rab+ Fbox | Lwad3214 | <i>Paramecium tetraurelia</i> | 34 | 35 | 7.E-14 |
| Rab | Lgra2891 | <i>Guillardia theta</i> | 36 | 56 | 2.E-19 |
| Rab | Lgra3435 | <i>Entamoeba histolytica</i> | 35 | 59 | 2.E-27 |
| Rab | Lma1540 | <i>Paramecium tetraurelia</i> | 34 | 55 | 1.E-17 |
| Rab + ank | LmasA3690 | <i>Oxytricha trifallax</i> | 34 | 19 | 2.E-19 |
| Rab | Lqua0234 | <i>Dictyostelium fasciculatum</i> | 38 | 34 | 1.E-25 |
| Rab | Lquin3026 | <i>Tetrahymena thermophila</i> | 34 | 57 | 1.E-19 |
| Rab | Lspi0161 | <i>Naegleria gruberi</i> | 34 | 24 | 7.E-24 |
| Rab | Lwal3261 | <i>Paramecium tetraurelia</i> | 33 | 85 | 7.E-18 |

Each Rab protein listed in the table represents a different orthologous group. Results are based on blastp searches using the nonredundant National Center for Biotechnology Information database.

in *C. elegans* (30) and, thus, LanA0735 may help *Legionella* avoid vacuole acidification during infection.

Among the proteins defined as eukaryotic-like, two previously described phospholipases of *L. pneumophila*, PlcB (Lpp1411/Lpg1455) and PlcA (Lpp0565/Lpg0502), were identified in our analysis as eukaryotic proteins. The only other bacteria encoding these two enzymes are *Pseudomonas* and amoebae-associated bacteria. The two enzymes have phospholipase activity (31), but their role in infection is unknown. Here, they were predicted as phosphatidylcholine-hydrolyzing phospholipase C. Phosphatidylcholine is a eukaryotic membrane phospholipid that is present in only about 15% of prokaryotic species; in particular, bacteria interacting with eukaryotes (32). *L. pneumophila* belongs to the phosphatidylcholine-containing group of bacteria, which includes *Francisella tularensis* or *Brucella abortus* (33). These pathogens use the phosphatidylcholine synthase pathway exclusively for phosphatidylcholine formation and are thought to depend on choline supplied from the host cell (34). Indeed, it has been shown that phosphatidylcholine synthesis is required for *L. pneumophila* virulence (35). Thus, it is tempting to infer that the role of these enzymes may be to help acquire choline from the host cell.

Evolutionary History of Eukaryotic Domains and Eukaryotic Proteins.

It is intriguing that *Legionella* species encode such a diverse repertoire of eukaryotic domains and eukaryotic-like proteins. To understand better this unique feature of the genus, we analyzed the evolutionary history of these proteins. After phylogenetic reconstruction of the genus *Legionella* based on the core genome (at least 50% identical) (Fig. 1A), we analyzed the distribution of the eukaryotic motifs and the eukaryotic proteins with respect to the evolution of the genus. For most, we found patchy distribution, as the repertoire of these proteins is variable among the different *Legionella* species (Fig. 2). Such a distribution is indicative of gain and loss events during the evolution of the genus. To analyze further how these proteins may have evolved in *Legionella*, we selected 25 eukaryotic motifs representing 2,837 different proteins in over 800 orthologous groups and used the program Gloome to analyze the gain and loss events for these proteins. We found that the number of gain events (1,197; 69%) considerably exceeded the number of loss events (549; 31%), a bias that was even stronger when using parsimony (1,628 gain events vs. 89 loss events) (SI Appendix, Fig. S8). These results were

confirmed also when using a more conservative approach (by taking a probability cutoff for the stochastic model of 0.8 instead of 0.5) and when analyzing each motif separately.

An exemplary view of this result is shown in Fig. 4 for four proteins encoding different motifs (U-box and ankyrin repeat, SET domain and ankyrin repeat, astacin domain, and alliinase domain). In Fig. 4, loss events are indicated by a cross, and gain events by an arrow pointing to the branch. The number of gain events exceeds the number of loss events, indicating that in the *Legionella* genus, gene acquisition is dominant. Moreover, gene acquisition seems to be an ongoing and frequent process in the genus *Legionella*, given the high number of events we observed and the fact that most of them are localized in the terminal branches of the tree (SI Appendix, Fig. S8). To analyze whether eukaryotic-like proteins have the same evolutionary history, we took the sphingosine 1-phosphate lyase (LpSpl) (36, 37) as an example. Indeed, when running the same analyses, this gene also appeared to have been gained multiple times during the evolution of the genus (Fig. 4).

Thus, in comparison with most prokaryotic species analyzed to date, more gene gain events are evident than loss events during evolution of the *Legionella* genus, which is also corroborated by the fact that the ancestral genomes were probably smaller (Fig. 1A, cluster I). Indeed, as seen in Fig. 1A, in each of the defined phylogenetic clusters, only few genomes have a larger size. For example, in cluster II, *L. massiliensis* is the only species with a big genome; thus, the most parsimonious explanation is that the ancestor of this clade had a small genome and, in the branch leading to *L. massiliensis*, gene gain occurred. This finding is similar to what was described for the adaptation of louse-borne intracellular pathogens and amoeba-associated bacteria. It is well known that the specialization of intracellular bacteria is associated with genome reduction, and extreme genome reduction can be seen in louse-borne human specialists. In contrast, nonspecialized intraamoebal microorganisms exhibit a genome larger than their relatives due to gene conservation and acquisition (38).

The Dot/Icm Secretion System Is a Highly Conserved Machinery Secreting Thousands of Different Proteins.

The Dot/Icm T4SS is indispensable for intracellular replication of *L. pneumophila* in both amoeba and macrophages (39). In stark contrast to the high genetic diversity observed in the *Legionella* genomes, the Dot/Icm T4SS is part of the core genome because it is present in all




Fig. 4. Gain-loss prediction for selected eukaryotic proteins and domain-containing proteins. Arrows pointing to the branches represent gain events, and crosses represent loss events. Filled squares, circles, triangles, or stars indicate the presence of the respective protein; empty squares, circles, triangles, or stars indicate that the protein is absent in this species.

(Lpg0140, Lpg2832, and Lpg3000) were present in two genomes as two consecutive genes instead of one; however, this fragmentation might be a sequencing error, and we thus considered these substrates also as core substrates (SI Appendix, Table S7). In our study, we identified one additional core effector gene, *lpg1356/lpp1310*. This protein was reported by Lifshitz et al. (42) as secreted protein, but had not been included in the Burstein et al. (14) effector search, which explains the different result (SI Appendix, Fig. S9B and Table S7). Similar to most of the other core substrates, their functions are not known, but Lpg1356 encodes eight eukaryotic Sel-1 motifs similar to LpnE, an *L. pneumophila* virulence determinant that influences vacuolar trafficking (43). Furthermore, seven other genes are present in all but one, two, or four genomes, thus they might have important functions in host-pathogen interactions (SI Appendix, Table S7). Interestingly, when the effector repertoire of several strains of one species is compared, the conservation of the effectors is very high (between 82% and 97%) (SI Appendix, Table S8). However, if more strains than two are available for a species, as is the case for *L. pneumophila* for which 11 strains could be compared, the conservation of the effector pool is only 65% (264 of the 408 different effectors identified in the 11 strains) (SI Appendix, Table S8). Thus, the *L. pneumophila* core effector set is also smaller than previously thought. Together, the genus *Legionella* has eight core substrates present in all genomes and seven additional ones that are present in nearly all genomes.

Interestingly, whereas the number of core Dot/Icm substrates is extremely small, the number and the diversity of predicted Dot/Icm substrates is extremely high. Indeed, through a machine learning approach, Burstein et al. (14) predicted that the *Legionella* genus would encode 5,885 effectors. Here, we extended these analyses and identified 4,767 proteins with eukaryotic motifs that have a high probability to be secreted effectors, as shown for the Rab-like proteins. If we consider that the orthologs of these proteins in each species are also effectors, then the number raises to 7,103 (representing 1,145 different orthologous proteins) (SI Appendix, Fig. S9C). Moreover, we identified 2,196 eukaryotic-like proteins representing 414 different orthologous genes, which, together with the above-mentioned eukaryotic motif carrying proteins, form 1,400 different putative orthologous substrates of the Dot/Icm T4SS. Finally, when adding to the effectors predicted in this study (based on their similarity to eukaryotic domains and proteins), the effectors previously described in *L. pneumophila* and their orthologs (more than 7,000 proteins representing about 300 different orthologs), as well as the effectors predicted by the machine learning approach and their orthologs (more than 10,000 proteins representing about 900 different orthologs) (14), the total number of different effectors rises to almost 18,000 proteins (more than 1,600 orthologous groups) (SI Appendix, Fig. S9C and Table S9). Therefore, the *Legionella* genus has by far the highest number and widest variety of effectors described for an intracellular bacterium. Furthermore, when calculating the growth-accumulation curve for Dot/Icm-predicted effectors, this number should still increase with the sequencing of new *Legionella* genomes, as the plateau is not yet reached (SI Appendix, Fig. S9D).

The Ability to Infect Human Cells Has Been Acquired Independently Several Times During the Evolution of the Genus *Legionella*. Among the 65 *Legionella* species known, *L. pneumophila* is responsible for over 90% of human disease, followed by *L. longbeachae* [2 to 7% of cases, except 30% in Australia and New Zealand (44)]. Certain *Legionella* species, such as *Legionella micdadei*, *Legionella dumoffii*, or *Legionella bozemani*, have once or sporadically been associated with human disease (44), and all other species seem to be environmental bacteria only. The reasons for these differences are not known. To explore whether all species are able to replicate in human cells, we chose the human macrophage-like cell line THP-1 as model and tested the replication capacity of 47 different *Legionella* species. Infections were carried out in duplicate or triplicate and colony-forming units were recorded at 24, 48, and 72 h postinfection. Levels of intracellular replication were compared with wild-type *L. pneumophila* strain Paris and an isogenic nonreplicating $\Delta dotA$ mutant as reference strains (Fig. 5 and SI Appendix, Figs. S12 and S13). Results were also compared with data previously reported for different *Legionella* species in THP-1, U937, A549, and Mono Mac 6 cells, mouse and guinea pig-derived macrophages, and in guinea pigs (SI Appendix, Table S10). When results at 72 h postinfection were analyzed, 28 of the 47 species tested were impaired for intracellular replication, whereas nine species replicated similarly to, or better than, *L. pneumophila* Paris (Fig. 5). These nine species were *Legionella gormanii*, *Legionella jamestowniensis*, *Legionella jordanis*, *Legionella like brunensis*, *Legionella maceachernii*, *Legionella micdadei*, *Legionella nagasakiensis*, *Legionella parisiensis*, and *Legionella tucsonensis*. Interestingly, *L. jamestowniensis*, for which one human case has been reported (45), replicated better than *L. pneumophila* Paris. Indeed, *L. jamestowniensis* productively infects human U937-derived phagocytes. The remaining eight species showed variable replication patterns, being significantly different from *L. pneumophila* Paris only in one or two of the three analyzed time points (SI Appendix, Fig. S12). Broadly, the species most frequently reported from human disease (*L. pneumophila*, *L. longbeachae*, *L. micdadei*, *L. bozemani*, and *L. dumoffii*) are also those that replicated robustly in THP-1




Fig. 5. The replicative capacity of the different *Legionella* species in THP-1 cells correlates with their epidemiological features. Replication of each strain at the time point 72 h after infection of THP-1 cells is shown (24 and 48 h postinfection shown in *SI Appendix*, Fig. S14). Intracellular replication was determined by recording the number of colony-forming units (CFU) after plating on buffered charcoal yeast extract agar. Blue boxes indicate *L. pneumophila* Paris, representative of a replicating strain; red boxes indicate *L. pneumophila* $\Delta dotA$, representative of nonreplicating strain. The strains are ordered according to the mean replication values. (A) *Legionella* species replicating similar to, or significantly better than, *L. pneumophila* Paris. (B) Species with no replication capacity or significantly lower replication capacity compared to *L. pneumophila* Paris.

cells. The only exception was the *L. dumoffii* strains that were impaired for replication in THP-1 cells but which have been shown to replicate in other cell types and guinea pigs. Together, there is a convincing correlation between the frequency of isolation from human disease and the ability to grow in macrophage-like cells.

To analyze this further, we overlapped the replication results with the phylogeny of the genus. Apart from the small cluster containing *L. belliardensis*, *Legionella gresliensis*, and *L. busanensis*, which were all unable to grow in THP-1 cells, replicating and nonreplicating strains were mixed in the phylogeny (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S14). This suggests that the capacity to replicate in human cells has been acquired independently several times during evolution of the *Legionella* genus, possibly as a result of recruiting effectors that allow adaptation to particular niches. To understand whether a specific set of effectors is necessary to infect human cells, we further analyzed the combination of effectors present in the strains isolated from human disease and those present in strains capable of replicating in THP-1 cells. Surprisingly, no specific set of effectors could be attributed to strains capable of replicating in human cells or isolated from human disease, although among these strains, certain conserved motifs always present were identified, such as ankyrin motifs or F-box or SET domains, suggesting that common pathways need to be subverted to cause human infection. Thus, the capacity to infect human cells has been acquired independently, several times during the evolution of the genus *Legionella*.

In conclusion, the analysis of 80 *Legionella* strains representing 58 different *Legionella* species has revealed a contrasting picture of the *Legionella* genus. It encodes a highly conserved T4SS predicted to secrete more than 18,000 proteins, of which only eight are conserved throughout the genus. Together, the genomes portray an extremely diverse genus shaped by massive interdomain HGT, circulating mobile genetic elements and eukaryotic-like proteins. Our in-depth analyses of eukaryotic features of the *Legionella* genomes identified 137 different eukaryotic domains, of which Rab or Ras domain-containing proteins were quasi-unique to the genus *Legionella*. The secretion assays undertaken for 16 of these Rab or Ras domain-containing proteins confirmed that these were translocated Dot/Icm effectors. In addition to the eukaryotic domains, we identified 210 orthologous groups of eukaryotic-like proteins. If all these proteins in the different species and their orthologs are

taken into account, we found more than 8,000 proteins that have been shaped by interdomain HGT in the genus *Legionella*. Thus, to our knowledge, the genus *Legionella* contains the widest variety and highest number of eukaryotic proteins and domains of any prokaryotic genus genome analyzed to date. Analyzing more strains per species will probably discover new unknown effectors, increasing our knowledge of the set of tools used by *Legionella* to infect eukaryotic cells. Although eukaryotic proteins and domains were a universal feature of the genus *Legionella*, the repertoire of these proteins for each species was different. Surprisingly, even when the same motif was present in different species, these were often present in different proteins with no orthology. In accordance with this finding, our evolutionary analysis of the presence/absence of these domains and proteins suggests that these proteins were mostly acquired through gene gain events.

When exploring the replication capacity of 47 different *Legionella* species in the human macrophage-like cell line THP-1, we found that the 23 species were capable of replicating in THP-1 cells. However, these did not cluster in the phylogeny, indicating that the capacity to replicate in macrophages can be achieved by different combinations of effectors and that this capacity has been acquired several times during the evolution of the *Legionella* genus. As humans are an accidental host for *Legionella*, the capacity to replicate in macrophages may also have been obtained by a coincidental acquisition of different virulence properties initially needed to adapt to a specific natural host, such as amoebae. Indeed, due to the high conservation of key signaling pathways in professional phagocytes such as amoebae and human macrophages, different combinations of effectors may allow *Legionella* species to infect higher eukaryotic cells by chance.

Here, we show that all *Legionella* species have acquired eukaryotic proteins that likely modulate specific host functions to allow intracellular survival and replication in eukaryotic host cells. At a certain point, the evolution of a combination of effector proteins that allow replication in human cells may inadvertently lead to the emergence of new human pathogens from environmental bacteria.

Materials and Methods

The materials and methods are described at length in *SI Appendix, Materials and Methods*. This includes sequencing and assembly, sequence processing and annotation, pan/core genome, ortholog and singleton

definition, phylogenetic reconstruction and evolutionary analysis, phylogenetic analyses of Rab and eukaryotic-like proteins, infection assays, statistical analysis, and translocation assays. The raw sequence reads were deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (46). The sequences and annotations can be accessed at https://github.com/bbi-ip/Legionella_genus_proteins.git (47).

- Fraser DW, et al. (1977) Legionnaires' disease: Description of an epidemic of pneumonia. *N Engl J Med* 297:1189–1197.
- Rowbotham TJ (1980) Preliminary report on the pathogenicity of *Legionella pneumophila* for freshwater and soil amoebae. *J Clin Pathol* 33:1179–1183.
- Cazalet C, et al. (2004) Evidence in the *Legionella pneumophila* genome for exploitation of host cell functions and high genome plasticity. *Nat Genet* 36:1165–1173.
- Brüggemann H, Cazalet C, Buchrieser C (2006) Adaptation of *Legionella pneumophila* to the host environment: Role of protein secretion, effectors and eukaryotic-like proteins. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 9:86–94.
- Komano T, Yoshida T, Narahara K, Furuya N (2000) The transfer region of IncI1 plasmid R64: Similarities between R64 tra and *legionella icm/dot* genes. *Mol Microbiol* 35:1348–1359.
- Escoll P, Mondino S, Rolando M, Buchrieser C (2016) Targeting of host organelles by pathogenic bacteria: A sophisticated subversion strategy. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 14:5–19.
- Finsel I, Hilbi H (2015) Formation of a pathogen vacuole according to *Legionella pneumophila*: How to kill one bird with many stones. *Cell Microbiol* 17:935–950.
- Nora T, Lomma M, Gomez-Valero L, Buchrieser C (2009) Molecular mimicry: An important virulence strategy employed by *Legionella pneumophila* to subvert host functions. *Future Microbiol* 4:691–701.
- Burstein D, et al. (2009) Genome-scale identification of *Legionella pneumophila* effectors using a machine learning approach. *PLoS Pathog* 5:e1000508.
- Gomez-Valero L, et al. (2011) Extensive recombination events and horizontal gene transfer shaped the *Legionella pneumophila* genomes. *BMC Genomics* 12:536.
- Gomez-Valero L, et al. (2014) Comparative analyses of *Legionella* species identifies genetic features of strains causing Legionnaires' disease. *Genome Biol* 15:505.
- Morozova I, et al. (2004) Comparative sequence analysis of the *icm/dot* genes in *Legionella*. *Plasmid* 51:127–147.
- Sánchez-Busó L, Comas I, Jorques G, González-Candelas F (2014) Recombination drives genome evolution in outbreak-related *Legionella pneumophila* isolates. *Nat Genet* 46:1205–1211.
- Burstein D, et al. (2016) Genomic analysis of 38 *Legionella* species identifies large and diverse effector repertoires. *Nat Genet* 48:167–175.
- Joseph SJ, et al. (2016) Dynamics of genome change among *Legionella* species. *Sci Rep* 6:33442.
- Cazalet C, et al. (2010) Analysis of the *Legionella longbeachae* genome and transcriptome uncovers unique strategies to cause Legionnaires' disease. *PLoS Genet* 6:e1000851.
- Guglielmini J, de la Cruz F, Rocha EP (2013) Evolution of conjugation and type IV secretion systems. *Mol Biol Evol* 30:315–331.
- Bohlin J, Brynildsrud OB, Sekse C, Snipen L (2014) An evolutionary analysis of genome expansion and pathogenicity in *Escherichia coli*. *BMC Genomics* 15:882.
- Bohlin J, Sekse C, Skjerve E, Brynildsrud O (2014) Positive correlations between genomic %AT and genome size within strains of bacterial species. *Environ Microbiol Rep* 6:278–286.
- Rolando M, et al. (2013) *Legionella pneumophila* effector RomA uniquely modifies host chromatin to repress gene expression and promote intracellular bacterial replication. *Cell Host Microbe* 13:395–405.
- Nagai H, Kagan JC, Zhu X, Kahn RA, Roy CR (2002) A bacterial guanine nucleotide exchange factor activates ARF on *Legionella* phagosomes. *Science* 295:679–682.
- Pasanen AL, Yli-Pietila K, Pasanen P, Kalliokoski P, Tarhanen J (1999) Ergosterol content in various fungal species and biocontaminated building materials. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 65:138–142.
- Smith FR, Korn ED (1968) 7-Dehydrostigmasterol and ergosterol: The major sterols of an amoeba. *J Lipid Res* 9:405–408.
- Thomson S, et al. (2017) Characterisation of sterol biosynthesis and validation of 14 α -demethylase as a drug target in *Acanthamoeba*. *Sci Rep* 7:8247.
- Wennerberg K, Rossman KL, Der CJ (2005) The Ras superfamily at a glance. *J Cell Sci* 118:843–846.
- Simanshu DK, Nissley DV, McCormick F (2017) RAS proteins and their regulators in human disease. *Cell* 170:17–33.
- Rojas AM, Fuentes G, Rausell A, Valencia A (2012) The Ras protein superfamily: Evolutionary tree and role of conserved amino acids. *J Cell Biol* 196:189–201.
- Colicelli J (2004) Human RAS superfamily proteins and related GTPases. *Sci STKE* 2004:RE13.
- Zade A, Sengupta M, Kondabagil K (2015) Extensive *in silico* analysis of Mimivirus coded Rab GTPase homolog suggests a possible role in virion membrane biogenesis. *Front Microbiol* 6:929.
- Li W, et al. (2012) Two thioredoxin reductases, *trx-1* and *trx-2*, have differential physiological roles in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Mol Cells* 34:209–218.
- Hiller M, Lang C, Michel W, Flieger A (2017) Secreted phospholipases of the lung pathogen *Legionella pneumophila*. *Int J Med Microbiol*, S1438-4221(17)30290-4.
- Aktas M, et al. (2010) Phosphatidylcholine biosynthesis and its significance in bacteria interacting with eukaryotic cells. *Eur J Cell Biol* 89:888–894.
- Geiger O, López-Lara IM, Sohlenkamp C (2013) Phosphatidylcholine biosynthesis and function in bacteria. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1831:503–513.
- Comerci DJ, Altabe S, de Mendoza D, Ugalde RA (2006) *Brucella abortus* synthesizes phosphatidylcholine from choline provided by the host. *J Bacteriol* 188:1929–1934.
- Conover GM, et al. (2008) Phosphatidylcholine synthesis is required for optimal function of *Legionella pneumophila* virulence determinants. *Cell Microbiol* 10:514–528.
- Degtyar E, Zusman T, Ehrlich M, Segal G (2009) A *Legionella* effector acquired from protozoa is involved in sphingolipids metabolism and is targeted to the host cell mitochondria. *Cell Microbiol* 11:1219–1235.
- Rolando M, et al. (2016) *Legionella pneumophila* S1P-lyase targets host sphingolipid metabolism and restrains autophagy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113:1901–1906.
- Moliner C, Fournier PE, Raoult D (2010) Genome analysis of microorganisms living in amoebae reveals a melting pot of evolution. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 34:281–294.
- Segal G, Shuman HA (1999) *Legionella pneumophila* utilizes the same genes to multiply within *Acanthamoeba castellanii* and human macrophages. *Infect Immun* 67:2117–2124.
- Duménil G, Isberg RR (2001) The *Legionella pneumophila* *lcmR* protein exhibits chaperone activity for *lcmQ* by preventing its participation in high-molecular-weight complexes. *Mol Microbiol* 40:1113–1127.
- Feldman M, Zusman T, Hagag S, Segal G (2005) Coevolution between nonhomologous but functionally similar proteins and their conserved partners in the *Legionella* pathogenesis system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102:12206–12211.
- Lifshitz Z, et al. (2013) Computational modeling and experimental validation of the *Legionella* and *Coxiella* virulence-related type-IVB secretion signal. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 110:E707–E715.
- Newton HJ, et al. (2007) Sel1 repeat protein LpnE is a *Legionella pneumophila* virulence determinant that influences vacuolar trafficking. *Infect Immun* 75:5575–5585.
- Yu VL, et al. (2002) Distribution of *Legionella* species and serogroups isolated by culture in patients with sporadic community-acquired legionellosis: An international collaborative survey. *J Infect Dis* 186:127–128.
- Prochazka B, et al. (2016) Draft genome sequence of *Legionella jamestowniensis* isolated from a patient with chronic respiratory disease. *Genome Announc* 4:e01007-16.
- Gomez-Valero L, Rusniok C and Buchrieser C (2018) Comparative genomics of *Legionella* species. European Nucleotide Archive. Available at <https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/PRJEB24896>. Deposited December 21, 2018.
- Gomez-Valero L, et al. (2018) Data from "Comparative genomics of *Legionella* species." European Nucleotide Archive. Available at https://github.com/bbi-ip/Legionella_genus_proteins.git. Deposited October 22, 2018.